Brand Finance[®]



Airlines
50
2017

The annual report on the world's most valuable airline brands February 2017

Foreword



David Haigh, CEO, Brand Finance

What is the purpose of a strong brand; to attract customers, to build loyalty, to motivate staff? All true, but for a commercial brand at least, the first answer must always be 'to make money'. Huge investments are made in the design, launch and ongoing promotion of brands. Given their potential financial value, this makes sense. Unfortunately, most organisations fail to go beyond that, missing huge opportunities to effectively make use of what are often their most important assets. Monitoring of brand performance should be the next step, but is often sporadic. Where it does take place it frequently lacks financial rigour and is heavily reliant on qualitative measures poorly understood by non-marketers. As a result, marketing teams struggle to communicate the value of their work and boards then underestimate the significance of their brands to the business. Skeptical finance teams, unconvinced by what they perceive as marketing mumbo jumbo may fail to agree necessary investments. What marketing spend there is can end up poorly directed as marketers are left to operate with insufficient financial guidance or accountability. The end result can be a slow but steady downward spiral of poor communication,

wasted resources and a negative impact on the bottom line.

Brand Finance bridges the gap between the marketing and financial worlds. Our teams have experience across a wide range of disciplines from market research and visual identity to tax and accounting. We understand the importance of design, advertising and marketing, but we also believe that the ultimate and overriding purpose of brands is to make money. That is why we connect brands to the bottom line. By valuing brands, we provide a mutually intelligible language for marketers and finance teams. Marketers then have the ability to communicate the significance of what they do and boards can use the information to chart a course that maximises profits. Without knowing the precise, financial value of an asset, how can you know if you are maximising your returns? If you are intending to license a brand, how can you know you are getting a fair price? If you are intending to sell, how do you know what the right time is? How do you decide which brands to discontinue, whether to rebrand and how to arrange your brand architecture? Brand Finance has conducted thousands of brand and brandedbusiness valuations to help answer these questions.

Brand Finance's recently conducted share price study revealed the compelling link between strong brands and stock market performance. It was found that investing in the most highly branded companies would lead to a return almost double that of the average for the S&P 500 as a whole. Acknowledging and managing a company's intangible assets taps into the hidden value that lies within it. The following report is a first step to understanding more about brands, how to value them and how to use that information to benefit the business. The team and I look forward to continuing the conversation with you.

Contents

Foreword Definitions Methodology Executive Summary Full Table Understand Your Brand's How We Can Help Contact Details

| | 2 |
|---------|----|
| | 4 |
| | 6 |
| | 8 |
| | 12 |
| s Value | 13 |
| | 14 |
| | |

15

Definitions



Branded Business Value

A brand should be viewed in the context of the business in which it operates. For this reason Brand Finance always conducts a Branded Business Valuation as part of any brand valuation. Where a company has a purely monobranded architecture, the business value is the same as the overall company value or 'enterprise value'.

In the more usual situation where a company owns multiple brands, business value refers to the value of the assets and revenue stream of the business line attached to that brand specifically. We evaluate the full brand value chain in order to understand the links between marketing investment, brand tracking data, stakeholder behaviour and business value to maximise the returns business owners can obtain from their brands.

Brand Contribution

The brand values contained in our league tables are those of the potentially transferable brand asset only, but for marketers and managers alike. An assessment of overall brand contribution to a business provides powerful insights to help optimise performance.

Brand Contribution represents the overall uplift in shareholder value that the business derives from owning the brand rather than operating a generic brand.

Brands affect a variety of stakeholders, not just customers but also staff, strategic partners, regulators, investors and more, having a significant impact on financial value beyond what can be bought or sold in a transaction.



Brand Value

In the very broadest sense, a brand is the focus for all the expectations and opinions held by customers, staff and other stakeholders about an organisation and its products and services. However, when looking at brands as business assets that can be bought, sold and licensed, a more technical definition is required.

Brand Finance helped to craft the internationally recognised standard on Brand Valuation, ISO 10668. That defines a brand as "a marketingrelated intangible asset including, but not limited to, names, terms, signs, symbols, logos and designs, or a combination of these, intended to identify goods, services or entities, or a combination of these, creating distinctive images and associations in the minds of stakeholders, thereby generating economic benefits/value"

Brand Strength

Brand Strength is the part of our analysis most directly and easily influenced by those responsible for marketing and brand management. In order to determine the strength of a brand we have developed the Brand Strength Index (BSI). We analyse marketing investment, brand equity (the goodwill accumulated with customers, staff and other stakeholders) and finally the impact of those on business performance.

Following this analysis, each brand is assigned a BSI score out of 100, which is fed into the brand value calculation. Based on the score. each brand in the league table is assigned a rating between AAA+ and D in a format similar to a credit rating. AAA+ brands are exceptionally strong and well managed while a failing brand would be assigned a D grade.

Methodology

League Table Valuation Methodology

Brand Finance calculates the values of the brands in its league tables using the 'Royalty Relief approach'. This approach involves estimating the likely future sales that are attributable to a brand and calculating a royalty rate that would be charged for the use of the brand, i.e. what the owner would have to pay for the use of the brand-assuming it were not already owned.

The steps in this process are as follows:

1 Calculate brand strength on a scale of 0 to 100 based on a number of attributes such as emotional connection, financial performance and sustainability, among others. This score is known as the Brand Strength Index, and is calculated using brand data from the BrandAsset® Valuator database, the world's largest database of brands, which measures brand equity, consideration and emotional imagery attributes to assess brand personality in a category agnostic manner.

- 2 Determine the royalty rate range for the respective brand sectors. This is done by reviewing comparable licensing agreements sourced from Brand Finance's extensive database of license agreements and other online databases.
- **3** Calculate royalty rate. The brand strength score is applied to the royalty rate range to arrive at a royalty rate. For example, if the royalty rate range in a brand's sector is 1-5% and a brand has a brand strength score of 80 out of 100, then an appropriate royalty rate for the use of this brand in the given sector will be 4.2%.
- **4** Determine brand specific revenues estimating a proportion of parent company revenues attributable to a specific brand.
- **5** Determine forecast brand specific revenues using a function of historic revenues, equity analyst forecasts and economic growth rates.
- 6 Apply the royalty rate to the forecast revenues to derive brand revenues.
- 7 Brand revenues are discounted post tax to a net present value which equals the brand value.



Brand strength expressed as a BSI score out of 100.

BSI score applied to an appropriate sector royalty rate range.

Royalty rate applied to forecast revenues to derive brand values.

Post-tax brand revenues are discounted to a net present value (NPV) which equals the brand value.

Brand Finance Typical Project Approach



How We Help to Maximise Value







Executive Summary

Airlines 50



Aeroflot is the world's most powerful airline brand, with an AAA brand rating. The news may come as a surprise to those in Europe and North America more familiar with Western or Gulf flag carriers. Aeroflot's brand strength stems in part from dominance of its domestic market. Its brand equity scores for metrics such as familiarity, consideration, preference and loyalty are formidable, both when compared against other Russian airlines and against foreign ones within their home markets. This is all the more impressive given that there are no air routes for which Aeroflot has exclusive access, demonstrating that its strength is underpinned by competitive advantage rather than monopoly.

Investment in the brand, which lays the foundations for future resilience and growth, is another key component of brand strength in which Aeroflot excels. It has the youngest fleet of any major airline and is investing heavily in marketing promotion, particularly in Asia. This is reinforced by its sponsorship of Manchester United (the world's most valuable football brand), which helps Aeroflot reach a vast audience across East Asia in particular. The approach is clearly paying off; this year Moscow overtook Dubai as the top hub for travel between China and Europe.

For the last five years, Emirates had held the title of world's most valuable airline brand, but 2017 sees a dramatic shift. Last year, Emirates' halfyear profits plunged 75%. The lower oil price might have been expected to help all airlines, however it has worked against the Gulf carriers, reducing demand from its home region. The lower oil price has also levelled the playing field for international rivals, leading to increased competition, driving down fares. The discount rate applied to all Gulf airlines has increased in tandem with this less favourable environment,



reducing long term value. Finally, the strength of the dollar has increased operating costs and also had a negative FX impact on all non-US domiciled brands. As a consequence, Emirates' brand value is down 21% to US\$6.1 billion, Etihad's value is flat (staying at US\$1.56bn) while Qatar Airways has

As a consequence, Emirates' brand value is down 21% to US\$6.1 billion, Etihad's value is flat (staying at US\$1.56bn) while Qatar Airways has been most strongly affected, with brand value falling 38% from 2016 to US\$2.16bn. Despite these brand value falls, brand strength has not been affected. Etihad and Qatar Airways retain their AA and AA+ brand ratings while Emirates continues to challenge for the title of world's strongest airline brand, with a AAA rating underpinned by a score just below that of Aeroflot.

Meanwhile, all US airlines have soared in value. The average year to year growth rate of the seven US brands in the table is 68%. The challenges A broader trend observed amongst airlines brands is the convergence between low cost and full service carriers. For example, Ryanair has attempted to soften its reputation for indifference to customers while at the other end of the scale BA has decided to stop serving food on short haul flights. This suggests that a centrist brand positioning is starting to be have significant appeal for customers and may be replicated more broadly.

Executive Summary

Brand Value Over Time





Brand Value Change 2016-2017 (USDm)



10. Brand Finance Airlines 50 February 2017



Brand Value Change 2016-2017 (%)



Brand Finance Airlines 50 (USDm)

Top 50 most valuable airline brands 1 - 50.

| Rank 2017 | Rank 2016 | Brand name | Domicile | Brand value (USDm) 2017 | % change | Brand value(USDm) 2016 | Brand rating 2017 | Brand rating 2016 |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 3 | American Airlines | United States | 9,811 | 59% | 6,156 | AAA | AAA- |
| 2 | 2 | Delta | United States | 9,232 | 47% | 6,301 | AAA | AAA- |
| 3 | 5 | United Airlines | United States | 7,161 | 60% | 4,474 | AAA- | AA |
| 4 | 1 | Emirates | Uae | 6,082 | -21% | 7,743 | AAA | AAA |
| 5 | 8 | Southwest Airlines | United States | 6,001 | 63% | 3,679 | AAA | AAA- |
| 6 | 6 | China Southern | China | 4,475 | 2% | 4,388 | AAA | AAA- |
| 7 | 10 | China Eastern | China | 3,920 | 14% | 3,436 | AAA- | AA |
| 8 | 7 | Air China | China | 3,865 | -7% | 4,154 | AAA- | AAA- |
| 9 | 4 | British Airways | United Kingdom | 3,708 | -20% | 4,621 | AA+ | AAA- |
| 10 | 15 | ANA | Japan | 2,586 | 43% | 1,805 | AAA- | AA- |
| 11 | 14 | Lufthansa | | 2,000 | | 1,000 | | |
| 12 | 9 | Qatar Airways | | | | | | |
| 13 | 12 | Qantas | | - | • | • | | • |
| 14 | 13 | Japan Airlines | | | | • | | • |
| 15 | 20 | Air Canada | | • | | • | | |
| 16 | 11 | Turkish Airlines | | | • | • | • | • |
| 17 | 25 | Alaska Airlines | | | | | | |
| 18 | 25 | | | | | | | |
| | | Jetblue Airways | | | | | | |
| 19 | 21 23 | Easyjet Hainan Airlines | | A | | | _ | A |
| 20 | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | A |
| 21 | 22 | Ryanair | | | | | | A |
| 22 | 16 | Singapore Airlines | | _ | | | | A |
| 23 | 18 | Etihad Airways | _ | | | ≙ | | A |
| 24 | 19 | Air France | _ | | | | | |
| 25 | 24 | Korean Air Lines | | | | | | |
| 26 | 29 | Aeroflot | | | | | | |
| 27 | 17 | Cathay Pacific | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 28 | 32 | Thai Airways | | | | | | |
| 29 | New | LATAM | | | | | | |
| 30 | 41 | Shenzhen Airlines | A | | | | | |
| 31 | 44 | Norwegian Air | | | | | | A |
| 32 | 48 | Spirit Airlines | | | | | | |
| 33 | 39 | Westjet Airlines | | | | | | |
| 34 | 36 | Air New Zealand | | | | | | |
| 35 | 31 | Iberia | | | | | | |
| 36 | New | Eva Airways | | | | | | |
| 37 | 28 | KLM | | | | | | |
| 38 | 27 | Virgin Atlantic | ₽ | | | | | |
| 39 | 34 | Saudia | | | | | | |
| 40 | 35 | Asiana Airlines | | | | | | |
| 41 | 37 | Garuda Indonesia | | | | | | |
| 42 | New | Juneyao Airlines | | | | | | |
| 43 | 50 | China Airlines | | | | | | |
| 44 | 33 | Virgin Australia | | | | | | |
| 45 | 49 | Aeromexico | | | | | | |
| 46 | New | Airasia | | | | | | |
| 47 | 43 | Swiss | | | | | | |
| 48 | New | Jetstar | | | | | | |
| 49 | 47 | Scandinavian Airlines | | | | | | |
| 50 | 45 | Avianca | | | | | | |





A Brand Value Report provides a complete breakdown of the assumptions, data sources and calculations used to arrive at your brand's value. Each report includes expert recommendations for growing brand value to drive business performance and offers a cost-effective way to gaining a better understanding of your position against competitors. It includes:

Brand Valuation Summary

- + Internal understanding of brand
- + Brand value tracking
- + Competitor benchmarking
- + Historical brand value

Brand Strength Index

- + Brand strength tracking
- + Brand strength analysis
- + Management KPI's
- + Competitor benchmarking



Royalty Rates

- + Transfer pricing
- + Licensing/ franchising negotiation
- + International licensing
- + Competitor benchmarking

Cost of Capital

+ Independent view of cost of capital for internal valuations and project appraisal exercises

Trademark Audit

- + Highlight unprotected marks
- + Spot potential infringement
- + Trademark registration strategy
- For more information regarding our League Table Reports, please contact:

Alex Haigh

Director of League Tables, Brand Finance

a.haigh@brandfinance.com

How we can help



We help marketers to connect their brands to business performance by evaluating the return on investment (ROI) of brand based decisions and strategies.

- + Branded Business Valuation
- + Brand Contribution
- + Trademark Valuation
- + Intangible Asset Valuation
- + Brand Audit
- + Market Research Analytics
- + Brand Scorecard Tracking
- + Return on Marketing Investment
- + Brand Transition
- + Brand Governance
- + Brand Architecture & Portfolio Management
- + Brand Positioning & Extension
- + Franchising & Licensing

- We provide financiers and auditors with an independent assessment on all forms of brand and intangible asset
- + Branded Business Valuation
- + Brand Contribution
- + Trademark Valuation
- + Intangible Asset Valuation
- + Brand Audit

valuations.

- + Market Research Analytics
- + Brand Scorecard Tracking
- + Return on Marketing Investment
- + Brand Transition
- + Brand Governance
- + Brand Architecture & Portfolio Management
- + Brand Positioning & Extension
- + Mergers, Acquisitions and Finance Raising Due Diligence
- + Franchising & Licensing
- + Tax & Transfer Pricing
- + Expert Witness



fiscal authorities to understand the implications of different tax, transfer pricing and brand ownership arrangements.

+ Branded Business Valuation

- + Brand Contribution
- + Trademark Valuation
- + Intangible Asset Valuation
- + Brand Audit
- + Market Research Analytics
- + Franchising & Licensing
- + Tax & Transfer Pricing

+ Expert Witness



exploit their intellectual property rights by providing independent expert advice inand outside of the courtroom.

+ Branded Business Valuation

- + Brand Contribution
- + Trademark Valuation
- + Intangible Asset Valuation
- + Brand Audit
- + Expert Witness

Contact details

Contact us

For brand value report enquiries, please contact: **Alex Haigh**

Director of League Tables **Brand Finance** a.haigh@brandfinance.com

For media enquiries,

please contact: **Robert Haigh** Marketing & Communications Director Brand Finance

r.haigh@brandfinance.com

For all other enquiries, please contact: enquiries@brandfinance.com +44 (0)207 389 9400

In

linkedin.com/company/ brand-finance



facebook.com/brandfinance



twitter.com/brandfinance

Disclaimer

Brand Finance has produced this study with an independent and unbiased analysis. The values derived and opinions produced in this study are based only on publicly available information and certain assumptions that Brand Finance used where such data was deficient or unclear . Brand Finance accepts no responsibility and will not be liable in the event that the publicly available information relied upon is subsequently found to be inaccurate.

The opinions and financial analysis expressed in the report are not to be construed as providing investment or business advice. Brand Finance does not intend the report to be relied upon for any reason and excludes all liability to any body, government or organisation

Our offices



your local representative:

| Country | Contact |
|---------------------|---------------|
| Australia | Mark Crow |
| Brazil | Pedro Tava |
| Canada | Bill Ratcliff |
| China | Minnie Fu |
| Caribbean | Nigel Coop |
| East Africa | Jawad Jaff |
| France | Victoire Ru |
| Germany | Dr. Holger |
| Greece | Ioannis Lic |
| Holland | Marc Cloo |
| India | Ajimon Fra |
| Indonesia | Jimmy Hal |
| Italy | Massimo F |
| Malaysia | Samir Dixit |
| Mexico | Laurence I |
| LatAm (exc. Brazil) | Laurence I |
| Middle East | Andrew Ca |
| Nigeria | Babatunde |
| Portugal | Pedro Tava |
| Russia | Alexander |
| Scandinavia | Alexander |
| Singapore | Samir Dixit |
| South Africa | Jeremy Sa |
| Spain | Jaime Alva |
| Sri Lanka | Ruchi Gun |
| Switzerland | Victoire Ru |
| Turkey | Muhterem |
| UK | Alex Haigh |
| USA | Ken Runke |
| Vietnam | Lai Tien Ma |

- + Tax & Transfer Pricing

For further information on Brand Finance®'s services and valuation experience, please contact

| | Email address |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| е | m.crowe@brandfinance.com |
| res | p.tavares@brandfinance.com |
| 9 | b.ratcliffe@brandfinance.com |
| | m.fu@brandfinance.com |
| er | n.cooper@brandfinance.com |
| er | j.jaffer@brandfinance.com |
| ault | v.ruault@brandfinance.com |
| Mühlbauer | h.mühlbauer@brandfinance.com |
| nis | i.lionis@brandfinance.com |
| sterman | m.cloosterman@brandfinance.com |
| ncis | a.francis@brandfinance.com |
| m | j.halim@brandfinance.com |
| izzo | m.pizzo@brandfinance.com |
| | s.dixit@brandfinance.com |
| lewell | I.newell@brandfinance.com |
| lewell | I.newell@brandfinance.com |
| mpbell | a.campbell@brandfinance.com |
| Odumeru | t.odumera@brandfinance.com |
| res | p.taveres@brandfinance.com |
| Eremenko | a.eremenko@brandfinance.com |
| Todoran | a.todoran@brandfinance.com |
| | s.dixit@brandfinance.com |
| mpson | j.sampson@brandfinance.com |
| rez | j.alvarez@brandfinance.com |
| ewardene | r.gunewardene@brandfinance.com |
| ault | v.ruault@brandfinance.com |
| llgüner | m.ilguner@brandfinance.com |
| | a.haigh@brandfinance.com |
| | k.runkel@brandfinance.com |
| nh | m.lai@brandfinance.com |
| | |

Brand Finance®

Contact us.

The World's Leading Independent Branded Business Valuation and Strategy Consultancy

- **T:** +44 (0)20 7839 9400
- E: enquiries@brandfinance.com www.brandfinance.com

